Computational Intelligence
Lecture 17: Associative Memory

Farzaneh Abdollahi

Department of Electrical Engineering

Amirkabir University of Technology

Fall 2010

Introduction

Dynamic Memory
    Hopfield Networks

Gradient-Type Hopfield Network
    Example

Introduction

▶ Learning can be considered as a process of forming associations between related patterns.

▶ For example visual image may be associated with another visual image, or the fragrance of fresh-mown grass may be associated with a visual image of feeling

▶ Memorization of a pattern could be associating the pattern with itself

▶ Therefore, in such networks the input pattern cause an output pattern which may be similar to the input pattern or related to that.

▶ An important characteristic of the association is that an input stimulus which is similar to the stimulus for the association will invoke the associated response pattern.

▶ For example, if we learn to read music, so that we associate with fingering on a stringed instrument, we do not need to see the same form of musical note we originally learned
  ▶ If the note is larger, or handwritten , we still can recognize and play.
  ▶ So after learning it is expected to make a good guess and provide appropriate repose

▶ Another example, ability to recognize a person either in person or form a photo even his/her appearance has been changed

▶ This is relatively difficult to program by a traditional computer algs.

▶ Associative memories belong to class of NN that learn according to a certain recording algs.

▶ They require information a priori and their connectivity matrices (weights) most often need to be formed in advance

▶ Writing into memory produces changes in the neural interconnections

▶ Reading of the stored info from memory named recall, is a transformation of input signals by the network

- ▶ Not usable addressing schemes exits in an associative memory
- ▶ All memory info is spatially distributed throughout the network
- ▶ The biological memory operates the same
- ▶ Associative memory enables a parallel search within a stored data
  - ▶ The purpose of search is to output one or all stored items that matches the search argument and retrieve it entirely or partially
- ▶ The fig. depicts a block diagram of an associative memory.

$$\mathbf{x}=[x_1\, x_2\, \ldots\, x_n]^t$$
$$\mathbf{v}=[v_1\, v_2\, \ldots\, v_m]^t$$

- ▶ The transformation is $v = M[x]$, M: a nonlinear matrix operator which has different meaning for each of memory models.

▶ For dynamic memories, M is time variable.
  ▶ v is available in output at a later time than the input has been applied

▶ For a given memory model, M is usually expressed in terms of given prototype vectors that should be stored

▶ The algs of finding M are called recording or storage algs.

▶ The mapping in $v = M[x]$ preformed on x is called a retrieval.

▶ Retrieval may provide a desired/an undesired solution prototype

▶ To have efficient retrieval some mechanisms should be developed

▶ Assume there are p stored pairs: $x^{(i)} \rightarrow v^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, ..., p$

▶ If $x^{(i)} \neq v^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, ..., p$ it is called heteroassociative memory

▶ If $x^{(i)} = v^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, ..., p$ it is called autoassociative memory

▶ Obviously the mapping of a vector $x^{(i)}$ into itself cannot be of any significance

▶ A more realistic application of autoassociative memory is recovery of undistorted prototype in response to a distorted prototype vector.

▶ Associative memory which uses NN concepts may resemble digital computer memory

▶ Let us compare their difference:
  ▶ Digital memory is address-addressable memory:
    ▶ data have input and output lines
    ▶ a word line access the entire row of binary cells containing word date bits.
    ▶ activation takes place when the binary address is decoded by an address decoder.

Addressing modes for memories: (a) address-addressable memory and (b) content-addressable memory.

▶ Associative memory is content addressable memory
  ▶ the words are accessed based on the content of the key vector
  ▶ When the network is excited by a portion of the stored date, the efficient response of autoassociative memory is the completed $x^{(i)}$ vector
  ▶ In hetroassociative memory the content of $x^{(i)}$ provides the stored vector $v^{(i)}$
  ▶ There is no storage for prototype $x^{(i)}$ or $v^{(i)}$ at any location of network
  ▶ The entire mapping is distributed in the network.
  ▶ The mapping is implemented through dense connections, feedback or/and a nonlinear thresholding operation

▶ Associative network memory can be
  ▶ Static: networks recall an output response after an input has been applied in one feedforward pass, and, theoretically, without delay. They were termed instantaneous
  ▶ Dynamic: memory networks produce recall as a result of output/input feedback interaction, which requires time.

▶ **Static memory**

  ▶ implement a feedforward operation of mapping without a feedback, or recursive update, operation.
  ▶ They are sometimes also called non-recurrent
  ▶ The mapping can be expressed as f

$$v^k = M_1[x^k]$$

 where k: index of recursion, M_1 operator symbol

▶ **Dynamic memory**

  ▶ exhibit dynamic evolution in the sense that they converge to an equilibrium state according to the recursive formula

$$v^{k+1} = M_2[x^k, v^k]$$

  ▶ This is a nonlinear difference equation.
  ▶ Hopfield model is an example of a recurrent network for which the input x^0 is used to initialize v^o, i.e., $x^0 = v^0$, and the input is then removed.
  ▶ So the formula will be simplified to

$$v^{k+1} = M_2[v^k]$$

Block diagram representation of associative memories: (a) feedforward network, (b) recurrent autoassociative network, and (c) recurrent heteroassociative network.

Hopfield Networks

- It is a special type of Dynamic Network that $v^0 = x^0$, i.e., $v^{k+1} = M[v^k]$
- It is a single layer feedback network which was first introduced by John Hopfield (1982,1988)
- Neurons are with either a hard-limiting activation function or with a continuous activation function (TLU)
- In MLP:
    - The weights are updated gradually by teacher-enforced which was externally imposed rather than spontaneous
    - The FB interactions within the network ceased once the training had been completed.
    - After training, output is provided immediately after receiving input signal

▶ In FB networks:
  - ▶ the weights are usually adjusted spontaneously.
  - ▶ Typically, the learning of dynamical systems is accomplished without a teacher.
  - ▶ i.e., the adjustment of system parameters does not depend on the difference between the desired and actual output value of the system during the learning phase.
  - ▶ To recall information stored in the network, an input pattern is applied, and the network's output is initialized accordingly.
  - ▶ Next, the initializing pattern is removed and the initial output forces the new, updated input through feedback connections.
  - ▶ The first updated input forces the first updated output. This, in turn, produces the second updated input and the second updated response.
  - ▶ The transition process continues until no new updated responses are produced and the network has reached its equilibrium.

▶ ∴ These networks should fulfill certain assumptions that make the class of networks stable and useful, and their behavior predictable in most cases.

▶ FB in the network
  ▶ allows for great reduction of the complexity.
  ▶ Deal with recalling noisy patterns
▶ Hopfield networks can provide
  ▶ associations or classifications
  ▶ optimization problem solution
  ▶ restoration of patterns
  ▶ In general, as with perceptron networks, they can be viewed as mapping networks
▶ One of the inherent drawbacks of dynamical systems is:
  ▶ The solutions offered by the networks are hard to track back or to explain.

▶ w_{ij}: the weight value
   connecting the output of the
   jth neuron with the input of
   the ith neuron

▶ $W = \{w_{ij}\}$ is weight matrix

▶ $V = [v_1, ..., v_n]^T$ is output
   vector

▶ $net = [net_1, .., net_n]^T = Wv$

▶ $v_i^{k+1} = sgn(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} v_j^k)$

▶ W is defined:

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w_{12} & w_{13} & \ldots & w_{1n} \\ w_{21} & 0 & w_{23} & \ldots & w_{2n} \\ w_{31} & w_{32} & 0 & \ldots & w_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ w_{n1} & w_{n2} & w_{n3} & \ldots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

▶ It is assumed that W is symmetric, i.e., $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$

▶ $w_{ii} = 0$, i.e., There is no self-feedback

▶ The output is updated asynchronously. This means that

  ▶ For a given time, only a single neuron (only one entry in vector V) is allowed to update its output

  ▶ The next update in a series uses the already updated vector V.

▶ Example: In this example output vector is started with initial value V^0, the updated by m, p and q respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
V^1 & = & [v_1^0 \; v_2^0 \; \ldots \; v_m^1 \; v_p^0 \; v_q^0 \; \ldots \; v_n^0]^T \\
V^2 & = & [v_1^0 \; v_2^0 \; \ldots \; v_m^1 \; v_p^2 \; v_q^0 \; \ldots \; v_n^0]^T \\
V^3 & = & [v_1^0 \; v_2^0 \; \ldots \; v_m^1 \; v_p^2 \; v_q^3 \; \ldots \; v_n^0]^T
\end{array}
$$

▶ The vector of neuron outputs V in n-dimensional space.

▶ The output vector is one of the vertices of the n-dimensional cube $[-1, 1]$ in E^n space.

▶ The vector moves during recursions from vertex to vertex, until it stabilizes in one of the 2^n vertices available.

▶ To evaluate the stability property of the dynamical system of interest, let us study a so-called computational energy function.

▶ This is a function usually defined in n-dimensional output space v
$E = -\frac{1}{2} v^T W v$

Example

- A 10×12 bit map of black and white pixels representing the digit 4.

- The initial, distorted digit 4 with 20% of the pixels randomly reversed.

Example of recursive asynchronous update of corrupted digit 4: (a) $k = 0$, (b) $k = 1$, (c) $k = 2$, (d) $k = 3$, (e) $k = 4$, and (f) $k = 5$.

▶ State transition map for a
   memory network is shown

▶ Each node of the graph is
   equivalent to a state and
   has one and only one edge
   leaving it.

▶ If the transitions terminate
   with a state mapping into
   itself, A, then the
   equilibrium A is fixed point.

▶ If the transitions end in a
   cycle of states, B, then we
   have a limit cycle solution
   with a certain period.

   ▶ The period is defined as
      the length of the cycle.
      (3 in this example)

- ► Energy function was defined as $E = -\frac{1}{2}v^T W v$
- ► In bipolar notation the complement of vector v is $-v$
- ► $\therefore E(-v) = -\frac{1}{2}v^T W v$
- ► $E(v) = E(-v) \rightsquigarrow \min E(v) = \min E(-v)$
- ► The memory transition may end up to v as easily as $-v$
- ► The similarity between initial output vector and v and $-v$ determines the convergence.
- ► It has been shown that synchronous state updating algorithm may yield persisting cycle states consisting of two complimentary patterns (Kamp and Hasler 1990)

▶ Example 1: Consider $W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, v^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ $v^1 = sgn(Wv) = sgn(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ $v^2 = sgn(Wv) = sgn(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ $v^0 = v^1 \rightsquigarrow$ It provides a cycle of two states rather than a fix point

▶ Example 2: Consider $W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

▶ The energy function becomes

$E(v) = -\frac{1}{2}[v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3 \ v_4] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix} =$

$-v_1(v_2 + v_3 - v_4) - v_2(v_3 - v_4) + v_3 v_4$

▶ It can be verifying that all possible energy levels are −6, 0, 2

▶ Each edge of the state diagram shows a single asynchronous state transition.

▶ Energy values are marked at cube vertexes

▶ By asynchronous updates, finally the energy ends up to its min value -6.


Energy levels and state transitions for the network

- ▶ Applying synchronous update:
    - ▶ Assume $v^0 = [1 \ -1 \ 1 \ 1]^T$
    - ▶ $v^1 = sgn(Wv^0) = [-1 \ 1 \ -1 \ -1]$
    - ▶ $v^2 = sgn(Wv^1) = [1 \ -1 \ 1 \ 1] = v^0$

- ▶ **Storage Algorithm**
    - ▶ For bipolar prototype vectors: the weight is calculated:
      $W = \sum_{m=1}^{p} s^{(m)} s^{(m)T} - PI$ or $w_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij}) \sum_{m=1}^{p} s_i^{(m)} s_j^{(m)}$
    - ▶ δ_{ij} is Kronecker function: $\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$
    - ▶ If the prototype vectors are unipolar, the the memory storage alg. is modified as $w_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij}) \sum_{m=1}^{p} (2s_i^{(m)} - 1)(2s_j^{(m)} - 1)$
    - ▶ The storage rule is invariant with respect to the sequence of storing pattern
    - ▶ Additional patterns can be added at any time by superposing new incremental weight matrices

Gradient-Type Hopfield Network

- Gradient-type neural networks are generalized Hopfield networks in which the computational energy decreases continuously in time.
- Gradient-type networks converge to one of the stable minima in the state space.
- The evolution of the system is in the general direction of the negative gradient of an energy function.
- Typically, the network energy function is equivalent to a certain objective (penalty) function to be minimized.
- These networks are examples of nonlinear, dynamical, and asymptotically stable systems.
- They can be considered as a solution of an optimization problem.

▶ The model of a gradient-type neural system using electrical components is shown in Fig.

▶ It has n neurons,

▶ each neuron mapping its input voltage u_i into the output voltage v_i through the activation function $f(u_i)$,

▶ $f(u_i)$ is the common static voltage transfer characteristic (VTC) of the neuron.

▶ Conductance w_{ij} connects the output of the jth neuron to the input of the ith neuron.

▶ The inverted neuron outputs $\bar{v}_i$ representing inverting output is applied to avoid negative conductance values w_{ij}

▶ Note that in Hopefield networks:

  ▶ $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$
  ▶ $w_{ii} = 0 \rightsquigarrow$, the outputs of neurons are not connected back to their own inputs

▶ Capacitances C_i, for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, are responsible for the dynamics of the transients in this model.

▶ KCL equ. for each node is
$$i_i + \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} W_{ij} v_j - u_i (\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} w_{ij} + g_i) = C_i \frac{du}{dt} \tag{1}$$

▶ Considering $G_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} + g_i$, $C = diag[C_1, C_2, ..., C_n]$, $G = [G_1, ..., G_n]$, the output equ. for whole system is
$$\begin{aligned} C\frac{du}{dt} &= Wv(t) - Gu(t) + I \\ v(t) &= f[u(t)] \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

- The energy to be minimized is
  $E(v) = -\frac{1}{2}v^t W v - iv + \frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_{i=}^{n} G_i \int_0^{v_i} f_i^{-1}(z)dz$
- The Hopfield networks can be applied for optimization problems.
- The challenge will be defining W and I s.t. fit the dynamics and objective of the problem to (2) and above equation.

Example: Traveling Salesman Tour Length [1]

- ▶ The problem is min tour length through a number of cities with only one visit of each city
- ▶ If n is number of cities $(n-1)!$ distinct path exists
- ▶ Let us use Hopefiled network to find the optimum solution
- ▶ We are looking to find a matrix shown in the fig.
    - ▶ n rows are the cities
    - ▶ n columns are the position of the salesman
    - ▶ each city/position can take 1 or 0
    - ▶ $v_{ij} = 1$ means salesman in its jth position is in ith city
- ▶ The network consists n^2 unipolar neurons
- ▶ Each city should be visited once ⤳ only one single 1 at each row and column

- ▶ We should define w and i such that the energy of the Hopfield network represent the objective we are looking for
- ▶ Recall the energy of Hoefiled network:
  $E(v) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{Xi} \sum_{Yj} w_{Xi,Y_j} v_{Xi} v_{Yj} - \sum_{Xi} i_{Xi} v_{Xi}$
  - ▶ The last term is omitted for simplicity
- ▶ Let us express our objective in math:
  $E_1 = A \sum_X \sum_i \sum_j v_{Xi} v_{Xj}$ for $i \neq j$
  $E_2 = B \sum_i \sum_X \sum_Y v_{Xi} v_{Yi}$ for $X \neq Y$
- ▶ E_1 be zero $\rightsquigarrow$ each row has at most one 1
- ▶ E_2 be zero $\rightsquigarrow$ each column has at most one 1
- ▶ $E_3 = C(\sum_X \sum_i v_{Xi} - n)^2$
- ▶ E_3 guarantees that there is at least one 1 at each column and row.
- ▶ $E_4 = D \sum_X \sum_Y \sum_i d_{XY} v_{Xi}(v_{Y,i+1} + v_{Y,i-1}), \quad X \neq Y$
- ▶ E_4 represents minimizing the distances
- ▶ d_{XY} is distance between city X and Y

- ▶ Recall the energy of Hoefiled network:
  $E(v) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{Xi} \sum_{Yj} w_{Xi,Y_j} v_{Xi} v_{Yj} - \sum_{Xi} i_{Xi} v_{Xi}$
- ▶ The weights can be defined as follows
- ▶ ∴
  $W_{Xi,Yj} = -2A\delta_{XY}(1-\delta_{ij}) - 2B\delta_{ij}(1-\delta_{XY}) - 2C - 2Dd_{xy}(\delta_{j,i+1} + \delta_{j,i-1})$
- ▶ $i_{Xi} = 2Cn$
- ▶ Positive consts A, B, C, and D are selected heuristically

J. J. Hopfield and D. W. Tank, "Neural computation of decisions in optimization problems," *Biolog. Cybern,* vol. 52 , pp. 141–154, 1985.