## LETTER

# Second-order intermodulation cancelation and conversion-gain enhancement techniques for CMOS active mixers

## Meysam Asghari and Mohammad Yavari\*,<sup>†</sup>

Integrated Circuits Design Laboratory, Department of Electrical Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

#### SUMMARY

In this paper, two new techniques are proposed to improve the second-order input intercept point (IIP2) and conversion-gain in double-balanced Gilbert-cell complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) mixers. The proposed IIP2 improvement technique is based on canceling the commonmode second-order intermodulation (IM2) component at the output current of the transconductance stage. Additionally, the conversion-gain is improved by increasing the fundamental component of the transconductance stage output current and creating a negative capacitance to cancel the parasitic capacitors. Moreover, in the proposed IM2 cancelation technique, by decreasing the bias current of the switching transistors, the flicker noise of the mixer is reduced. The proposed mixer has been designed with input frequency and output bandwidth equal to 2.4 GHz and 20 MHz, respectively. Spectre-RF simulation results show that the proposed techniques simultaneously improve IIP2 and conversion-gain by approximately 23.2 and 5.7 dB, respectively, in comparison with the conventional mixer with the same power consumption. Also, the noise figure (NF) at 20 kHz, where the flicker noise is dominant, is reduced by 4.9 dB. The average NF is increased nearly 0.9 dB, and the value of third-order input intercept point (IIP3) is decreased approximately 1.8 dB. Copyright © 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Received 8 March 2014; Revised 11 August 2014; Accepted 26 August 2014

KEY WORDS: second-order input intercept point; second-order intermodulation; conversion-gain; CMOS active mixers; flicker noise; direct-conversion receivers

## 1. INTRODUCTION

CMOS direct-conversion receivers (DCRs) are more attractive rather than other receivers because of their high integration level, low cost, and simplicity of baseband circuitry, and they are widely used in modern wireless terminals. In the realization of some low power applications, such as the emerging Digital Video Broadcasting Handheld standard and cellular code division multiple access, the DCR is a well solution leading to an improved power efficiency and reduced chip area [1]. However, even-order nonlinearities, DC offset, LO leakage, and the flicker noise are the main challenging issues in the design of DCRs affecting their linearity and NF [2–5]. CMOS technology scaling allows to further reduce the area and cost of the chip and makes the realization of the radio frequency (RF) system to be feasible in a single chip [6]. On the other hand, as discussed in [7, 8], the unity current gain frequency ( $f_T$ ) of MOS transistors is increased by CMOS technology scaling resulting in the reduced minimum noise figure ( $NF_{min}$ )

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Mohammad Yavari, Integrated Circuits Design Laboratory, Department of Electrical Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>E-mail: myavari@aut.ac.ir

in RF amplifiers [7]. However, the linearity performance and intrinsic dc gain in MOS transistors are degraded with process scaling [8, 9].

Mixers are one of the important blocks in RF receivers because of their frequency translation capability. The linearity and noise of the mixer have a considerable influence on the total linearity and noise of an RF receiver [10]. It is usually characterized with IIP2 and IIP3 parameters. The double-balanced Gilbert-cell mixer, because of its high port-to-port isolation and high integration level, has been widely used as a down-converter in DCRs. In a perfectly balanced mixer, even-order nonlinearities would not appear at the output. However, in practice, because of the mismatch between the local oscillator (LO) signals, load resistances, and switching transistors, even-order nonlinearities appear at the signal path [11]. The even-order nonlinearities can be attenuated using fully-differential topologies and symmetric layouts. However, the required performance especially for cellular phone applications cannot be often satisfied by these approaches. Therefore, in order to meet the required IIP2 performance for cellular phone applications, it is necessary to use other on-chip schemes such as analog techniques or calibration circuits [11].

Several calibration techniques have been presented to address the IIP2 problem. However, they are often complex and power hungry [12, 13]. Using analog techniques and optimizing the mixer for an improved IIP2 performance are other approaches [14, 15]. The generation of intermodulation products in the switching transistors of a Gilbert-cell mixer has been discussed, and an LC filter to cancel the parasitic capacitance at the output nodes of the transconductance stage is proposed in [14]. However, this mixer employs an on-chip inductor, which occupies a large silicon die area and has the radiation effect in the other parts of the receiver [16]. Several analog techniques based on the feedback loop are presented in [11,17, 18]. However, the study of Vahidfar and Shoaei [17] is not appropriate for wide channel bandwidth applications such as UMTS and IEEE 802.11, and the studies of Vahidfar and Shoaei [11,18] are sensitive to the mismatch between the corresponding devices and need more power [19].

In this paper, two new techniques are proposed to improve the IIP2 and conversion-gain in CMOS active mixers. In the first technique, an IM2 current with an equal magnitude but an opposite phase is generated by employing two auxiliary transistors in parallel with the main transistors of the transconductance stage and two active current mirrors. The very same current is subtracted from the output current of this stage resulting in the cancelation of the IM2 current of the transconductor. In the second technique, the conversion-gain is improved by using the fundamental current of the auxiliary transistors and creating a negative capacitance to cancel the parasitic capacitors caused by the auxiliary transistors. Also, the NF at low frequencies, where the flicker noise is dominant, is reduced by decreasing the dc current in the switching transistors.

The paper is organized as follows. The proposed IM2 cancelation and the conversion-gain improvement techniques along with the noise analysis are explained in Section 2. The Spectre-RF simulation results are provided in Section 3, and finally, the conclusions are presented in Section 4.

## 2. SECOND-ORDER INPUT INTERCEPT POINT AND CONVERSION-GAIN IMPROVEMENT TECHNIQUES

The conventional double-balanced Gilbert-cell mixer is shown in Figure 1. It is comprised of three transconductance, switching, and load stages. By assuming the switching stage is ideal, the transistors of the transconductance stage are the most dominant sources of distortion. The second-order and third-order intermodulations are the most important parts of the distortion in the transconductor current limiting the IIP2 and IIP3 of the mixer, respectively. This paper is focused to improve both the value of IIP2 and conversion-gain. To do so, two new techniques are introduced to cancel the IM2 and increase the fundamental components in the output current of the transconductance stage. To investigate the mechanism of the aforementioned methods, the Volterra series analysis is employed.



Figure 1. Conventional double-balanced CMOS active mixer.

#### 2.1. Second-order input intercept point enhancement technique

A significant portion of the distortion in an active mixer is due to the nonideality in the transistors of the transconductance stage. The distortion in the current of an MOS transistor mainly comes from the nonlinear transconductance ( $G_m$ ) [20, 21]. Because the high-order coefficients of  $G_m$  are negligible, the nonlinear small-signal drain current of an MOS transistor can be expressed by Taylor series expansion as follows:

$$i_d(t) = g_m (v_g(t) - v_s(t)) + g'_m (v_g(t) - v_s(t))^2 + g''_m (v_g(t) - v_s(t))^3 + \dots$$
(1)

where  $g_m$  is the transconductance,  $g'_m$  and  $g''_m$  are the first-order and second-order derivatives of the transistor transconductance, respectively. In the aforementioned equation, the first term is the transistor's transconductance, which converts the input voltage signal to a linear current signal. The second and third terms are the sources of distortion in the drain current and are, respectively, the most dominant sources of the second-order and third-order intermodulation components.

In a perfectly balanced transconductor, like the transconductance stage of the conventional mixer shown in Figure 1, the IM2 current, originated from  $g'_m$ , appears as the common mode at the output current. In practice, when there is a mismatch between the corresponding devices, the IM2 current of each transistor consists of two common mode and differential parts. The common-mode part of the IM2 current is more important than its differential part as shown in the following equation [4,22]:

$$\frac{I_{IM2,diff}}{I_{IM2,CM}} = \frac{3\sigma_{V_{TH}}}{2(V_{GS} - V_{TH})} <<1$$
(2)

where  $V_{GS}$ ,  $V_{TH}$ , and  $\sigma_{VTH}$  are the gate-source voltage, threshold voltage, and standard derivation of the threshold voltage, respectively. The amplitude of IIP2 in the conventional mixer is given by Manstretta *et al.* [4]:

$$A_{IIP2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{g_{m,RF} v_{RF}^2}{\sqrt{L^2 \left( I_{IM2,diff}^2 + I_{IM2,CM}^2 \right) + \left( \frac{\sigma_R}{R_L} \right)^2 I_{IM2,CM}^2}}$$
(3)

where  $g_{m,RF}$ , *L*, and  $\sigma_R$  are the total transconductance of the RF stage, low-frequency leakage of the switching transistors, and the standard deviation of mismatch in the load resistances, respectively. As it is seen from Eqs. (2) and (3), the common-mode part cancelation of the IM2 current can be an effective way to improve the IIP2 in an active mixer as it is used in this paper.

The proposed IM2 cancelation circuit in the transconductance stage is shown in Figure 2. In this figure,  $M_{1a}$  and  $M_{2a}$  convert the input signal voltage to a nonlinear current, and because the drain of these two transistors are connected together, their even-order nonlinear terms are summed up and the odd-order terms are canceled because of their opposite sign. This current is then injected to the



Figure 2. The proposed IM2 cancelation circuit.

drains of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  (main transistors) while flowing from the diode-connected transistor ( $M_{5a}$ ) and being amplified N times by  $M_{3a}$  and  $M_{4a}$  transistors. By assuming  $M_{1a}$  and  $M_{2a}$  are similar, the injected currents ( $i_{d3a}$  and  $i_{d4a}$ ) are obtained as follows:

$$i_{d3a} = i_{d4a} = \frac{(W/L)_{3a,4a}}{(W/L)_{5a}} \times i_{d5a} = N \times \left(g'_{m1a}v^2_{in+} + g'_{m2a}v^2_{in-}\right) = 2Ng'_{m1a}v^2_{in+}$$
(4)

By writing Kirchhoff's current law (KCL) at the drains of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , the output currents of the transconductance stage versus the input signal voltage are obtained as

$$i_{sw+} = i_{d1} - i_{d3a} = g_{m1}v_{in+} + (g_{m1} - 2Ng_{m1a})v_{in+}^2 + g_{m1}^{"}v_{in+}^3 + \dots$$
(5)

$$i_{sw-} = i_{d2} - i_{d4a} = g_{m2}v_{in-} + (g'_{m2} - 2Ng'_{m1a})v_{in-}^2 + g''_{m2}v_{in-}^3 + \dots$$
(6)

According to the relations (5) and (6), the IM2 component in the output current of the transconductance stage can be canceled if the following condition is satisfied:

$$N = \frac{g'_{m1}}{2g'_{m1a}}$$
(7)

This condition can be well satisfied by changing the value of N and choosing a proper aspect ratio for  $M_{1a}$ . Therefore, the value of  $A_{IIP2}$  is considerably enhanced.

#### 2.2. Conversion-gain improvement technique

In a Gilbert-cell mixer such as the mixer shown in Figure 1, the input voltage signal is firstly converted to the current by the transconductance stage, and then, this current is commutated to the right and left branches by the switching stage. Finally, the commutated current is converted to the voltage, and the desired signal component (down-converted version of the input signal) is selected by the RC low-pass filter in the load stage. Hence, the voltage conversion-gain of the mixer is obtained as

$$A_V = \frac{2}{\pi} G_m R_L \tag{8}$$

where  $G_m$  is the total transconductance of the transconductor. According to the aforementioned equation, the voltage conversion-gain can be enhanced by increasing either the load resistance or the total transconductance of the transconductor. However, the maximum value of the load resistance is limited by the power supply voltage. Here, in order to enhance the conversion-gain, the second way

is utilized. For this end, the circuit shown in Figure 3 is proposed. In this circuit, the transconductance of the switching pairs  $(M_3-M_4 \text{ and } M_5-M_6)$  with the output conductance of  $M_1$  and  $M_{3a}$   $(M_2$  and  $M_{4a})$ , the output conductance of  $M_{1a}$  and  $M_{6a}$   $(M_{2a} \text{ and } M_{5a})$  and the total parasitic capacitance at the nodes A and C, (B and D) are modeled by  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $C_{P1}$ , and  $C_{P2}$ , respectively. In this technique, by using a cross-coupled structure, the fundamental component at the drain current of  $M_{1a}$  and  $M_{2a}$  is used to increase the total transconductance. By applying the Kirchhoff's law at the drains of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , the output currents of the transconductance stage versus the input voltage are obtained as

$$i_{sw+} = i_{d1} - i_{d3a}$$

$$= (g_{m1}v_{in+} - Ng_{m2a}v_{in-}) + (g'_{m1}v_{in+}^2 - Ng'_{m2a}v_{in-}^2) + (g''_{m1}v_{in+}^3 - Ng''_{m2a}v_{in-}^3) + \dots$$
(9)
$$= (g_{m1} + Ng_{m2a})v_{in+} + (g'_{m1} - Ng'_{m2a})v_{in+}^2 + (g''_{m1} + Ng''_{m2a})v_{in+}^3 + \dots$$

$$i_{sw-} = i_{d2} - i_{d4a}$$

$$= (g_{m2}v_{in-} - Ng_{m1a}v_{in+}) + (g'_{m2}v_{in-}^2 - Ng'_{m1a}v_{in+}^2) + (g''_{m2}v_{in-}^3 - Ng''_{m1a}v_{in+}^3) + \dots \quad (10)$$

$$= -(g_{m2} + Ng_{m1a})v_{in+} + (g'_{m2} - Ng'_{m1a})v_{in+}^2 - (g''_{m2} + Ng''_{m1a})v_{in+}^3 + \dots$$

The first parenthesis of the aforementioned equations is the total transconductance of the mixer. As is seen, this term is increased by  $Ng_{m1a}$ , which is the amplified fundamental current of the auxiliary transistors ( $M_{1a}$  and  $M_{2a}$ ). However, this structure suffers from a drawback. The transfer function of the added path ( $M_{1a}$ ,  $M_{6a}$ ,  $M_{4a}$  and  $M_{2a}$ ,  $M_{5a}$ ,  $M_{3a}$ ) is given by

$$\frac{I_{d4a}(s)}{V_{in+}(s)} = \frac{g_{m1a}g_{m4a}}{(g_{m6a} + G_2) + sC_{P2}}$$
(11)

which has an extra frequency pole as

$$\omega_p = -\frac{g_{m6a} + G_2}{C_{P2}} \tag{12}$$

This pole, which is caused by the  $C_{P2}$  parasitic capacitance, creates a phase lag in the auxiliary paths  $(M_{1a}, M_{4a}, M_{6a} \text{ and } M_{2a}, M_{3a}, M_{5a})$  and, hence, decreases the amount of the transconductance improvement. In order to reduce the effect of  $C_{P2}$ , it can be canceled by two ways. Firstly, an on-chip inductor can be utilized in parallel with  $C_{P2}$  so that to resonate it at the working frequency. Secondly, a negative capacitor can be paralleled with  $C_{P2}$ . On-chip inductors have a low quality factor (typically, Q is lower than 10) and occupies a large die area. The low Q results in a significantly large series equivalent resistance, which increases the NF and the large area decreases the yield and increases the cost [23]. Additionally, the inductors have the radiation effect in the other parts of the receiver [16]. For these reasons, the second way is utilized here.



Figure 3. The proposed transconductance stage.

In order to realize a negative capacitor, the Miller concept is employed. In the circuit shown in Figure 3, the magnitude of the fundamental component in node *A* is greater than node *C*, and consequently, by placing a capacitor ( $C_N$ ) between these two nodes, a negative capacitance can be realized. By assuming the voltage of node *A* to be *K* times that of node *C* (i.e.,  $v_a = Kv_c$ ) and placing  $C_N$  between these two nodes, the equivalent capacitance seen from the node *C* can be calculated as follows:

$$q_c = C_N(v_c - v_a) = C_N v_c (1 - K) \qquad => \qquad C_C = \frac{q_c}{v_c} = C_N (1 - K) \tag{13}$$

As it is seen, because the value of K is greater than 1 (K > 1), the equivalent capacitance seen from the node C will be negative resulting in the parasitic capacitance in the auxiliary path ( $C_{P2}$ ) to be reduced. However, the equivalent capacitance seen from the node A, caused by  $C_N$ , will be positive, and hence, it loads the transconductance stage. Nevertheless, the amount of the fundamental current reduction by this positive capacitance is negligible in comparison with the increasing amount achieved by the negative capacitance, and in overall, the Miller capacitor ( $C_N$ ) increases the total transconductance of the proposed mixer.

The complete schematic of the proposed mixer is shown in Figure 4. In this circuit,  $M_1-M_2$  with  $M_{1a}-M_{6a}$  and  $C_N$ ,  $M_3-M_6$  and  $R_L$  with  $C_L$  form the proposed transconductance, switching, and load stages, respectively. To more investigate the IM2 cancelation and conversion-gain improvement mechanisms and considering the impact of the energy storage elements (capacitors), the transconductance stage of the proposed mixer is analyzed using Volterra series. This analysis indicates how the IM2 current of the transconductance stage is attenuated to improve the IIP2 and how it gives the transfer function (the first-order kernel) of the proposed transconductance stage.

The drain voltage of  $M_1$  in Figure 4 can be expressed as follows:

$$V_A = A_1(\omega) \circ V_{in+} + A_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ V_{in+}^2 + A_3(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) \circ V_{in+}^3 + \dots$$
(14)

where  $A_1, A_2$ , and  $A_3$  are the first-order, second-order, and third-order kernels of  $V_A$ , respectively. The currents flowing from the switching pairs can be expressed versus the kernels of  $V_A$  as

$$i_{s+} = H_1(\omega) \circ V_{in+} + H_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ V_{in+}^2 + H_3(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) \circ V_{in+}^3 + \dots$$
  
=  $2g_{m,sw} [A_1(\omega) \circ V_{in+} + A_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ V_{in+}^2 + A_3(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) \circ V_{in+}^3 + \dots]$  (15)



Figure 4. Complete schematic of the proposed mixer.

$$i_{s-} = H_1(\omega) \circ V_{in-} + H_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ V_{in-}^2 + H_3(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) \circ V_{in-}^3 + \dots$$
  
=  $2g_{m,sw} \Big[ -A_1(\omega) \circ V_{in+} + A_2(\omega_1, \omega_2) \circ V_{in+}^2 - A_3(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3) \circ V_{in+}^3 + \dots \Big]$  (16)

where  $g_{m,sw}$  is the transconductance of the switching transistors. By assuming  $C_N >> C_{P2}$  and N >> 1, the first-order and second-order kernels of  $V_A$  are given by (the detailed calculations are presented in Appendix A)

$$A_{1}(\omega) \approx -\frac{[g_{m1} - D_{1}(\omega)g_{m3a}] + j\omega C_{N}[(g_{m1} + g_{m1a}) - ND_{1}(\omega)g_{m6a}]}{G_{1} + j\omega \Big[C_{N}\Big(1 + \frac{G_{1}}{G_{2}}\Big) + C_{P1}\Big] - \omega^{2}C_{N}\Big(\frac{C_{P1} + C_{P2}}{G_{2}}\Big)}$$
(17)

$$A_{2}(\pm\omega_{1},\mp\omega_{2}) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} -\left(G_{2}g_{m1}^{'}+j(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})\left(C_{N}\left(g_{m1}^{'}+g_{m1a}^{'}\right)+C_{P2}g_{m1}^{'}\right)\right)\\ -\left(D_{1}(\omega_{1})D_{1}(\omega_{2})g_{m6a}^{'}+D_{2}(\pm\omega_{1},\mp\omega_{2})g_{m6a}\right)(j(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})(C_{N}(N+1)+NC_{P2})-NG_{2})\end{pmatrix}}{G_{1}G_{2}+j(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})(G_{1}(C_{N}+C_{P2})+G_{2}(C_{N}+C_{P1}))-(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})^{2}(C_{N}(C_{P1}+C_{P2})+C_{P1}C_{P2})}$$

$$(18)$$

In the numerator of Eq. (17), the first and second terms in the first bracket stands for  $A_1$  raised by the transconductance of the main transistors ( $M_1$  and  $M_2$ ) and the injected fundamental current by  $M_{3a}$  (and  $M_{4a}$ ), respectively, and the second bracket is resulted from the realization of the negative capacitance.

Figure 5 shows the theoretical and simulated magnitude of  $H_1$  versus the values of  $C_N$  in the range of 0 to 2 pF. According to this figure, the simulated magnitude of  $H_1$  for  $C_N = 0$ , when the negative capacitance realization is not used, is equal to -48.5 dB and for  $C_N > 1.1 \text{ pF}$ , it is approximately equal to -43.2 dB, which shows that the total transconductance is improved by nearly 5.7 dB. Moreover, as it is seen, by increasing the value of  $C_N$  and providing the assumption that  $C_N > C_{P2}$ , a good agreement between the simulated and theoretical magnitude of  $H_1$ , the behavior of  $C_N$  in increasing the magnitude of  $H_1$ , is observed. As a result, the effectiveness of the proposed technique is evident.

As can be seen from the relations (15), (16), and (18), by choosing a proper aspect ratio for  $M_{1a}$  (and  $M_{2a}$ ) and tuning the value of  $N(M_{3a}, M_{5a} \text{ and } M_{4a}, M_{6a})$ , one can attenuate  $H_2$  and, hence, improve the IIP2 according to Eq. (3). Equations (15) and (18) state that  $H_2$  can be canceled resulting in an infinite  $A_{IIP2}$  provided that the following condition is satisfied (by assuming  $C_N >> C_{P2}$  and N >> 1):



Figure 5.  $H_1$  versus  $C_N$ .

$$N = \frac{G_2 g'_{m1} + j(\pm \omega_1 \mp \omega_2) C_N (g'_{m1} + g'_{m1a})}{(D_1(\pm \omega_1) D_1(\mp \omega_2) g'_{m6a} + D_2(\pm \omega_1, \mp \omega_2) g_{m6a}) (G_2 - j(\pm \omega_1 \mp \omega_2) C_N)}$$
(19)

The condition in Eq. (19) can be well satisfied by properly choosing the value of N (the relative aspect ratio in  $M_{3a}-M_{5a}$  and  $M_{4a}-M_{6a}$  transistors) and the aspect ratio of  $M_{1a}$  and  $M_{2a}$  auxiliary transistors.

#### 2.3. Noise analysis

In a zero-IF double-balanced Gilbert-cell mixer, the transconductance and load stages are the most dominant sources of thermal noise. The noise components of the transconductance stage at the RF input signal bandwidth are translated in frequency like the input signal. So, by assuming a 50% duty cycle square wave in LO, the thermal noise of the transconductance stage at  $\omega_{LO}$  and its odd harmonics are translated to output IF band, while the flicker noise is upconverted to  $\omega_{LO}$  and its odd harmonics. As a result, because the proposed mixer is designed for a zero-IF receiver, only the thermal noise of the transconductance stage is important after the frequency translation. This is because the flicker noise corner frequency of MOS transistors is usually much smaller than the LO frequency [2]. On the other hand, both the thermal and flicker noises of the load stage directly appear at the output IF band [2]. Nevertheless, by using the resistor in the load stage, which is free from the flicker noise, the flicker noise of the mixer is mostly originated from the switching stage [2].

In an active mixer, the NF can separately be defined for each transconductance, switching, and load stages. Because, in the proposed mixer, only the transconductance stage is improved and the switching stage is not changed, to compare the noise performance of the proposed and conventional mixers, the NF of their transconductance stage is calculated here. Of course, according to the NF relation of the cascaded stages in [24], the contribution of the load stage in the total NF of the proposed mixer is decreased because of the conversion-gain enhancement in the transconductance stage.

Using the equivalent half-circuit of the proposed transconductance stage shown in Figure 6, the output noise current of the transconductance stage, due to the devices of the circuit, is obtained as follows:

$$\overline{i}_{n,out}^{2}\Big|_{M_{1,1a,4a,6a}} = \overline{i}_{n,M_{1}}^{2} + \overline{i}_{n,M_{4a}}^{2} + \left(\overline{i}_{n,M_{6a}}^{2} + \overline{i}_{n,M_{1a}}^{2}\right) \left(\frac{g_{m4a}}{g_{m6a}}\right)^{2}$$

$$= 4kT\gamma \Big[g_{m1} + g_{m4a} + (g_{m6a} + g_{m1a})(g_{m4a}/g_{m6a})^{2}\Big]$$

$$(20)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the excess noise coefficient in short channel MOS devices. Also, the output noise current due to  $R_S$  is given by



Figure 6. Half-circuit of the proposed transconductance stage for noise analysis.

$$\overline{i}_{n,out}^{2}\Big|_{R_{s}} = \overline{V}_{n,R_{s}}^{2}\Big[g_{m1}^{2} + g_{m1a}^{2}(g_{m4a}/g_{m6a})^{2}\Big] = 4kTR_{s}\Big[g_{m1}^{2} + g_{m1a}^{2}(g_{m4a}/g_{m6a})^{2}\Big]$$
(21)

Hence, the noise factor of the proposed transconductance stage is obtained as

$$NF_{G_m-stage, Proposed} = 1 + \frac{\overline{i}_{n,out}^2 \Big|_{M_{1,1a,4a,6a}}}{\overline{i}_{n,out}^2 \Big|_{R_S}} = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{R_S} \times \frac{g_{m1} + g_{m4a} + (g_{m1a} + g_{m6a})(g_{m4a}/g_{m6a})^2}{[g_{m1} + g_{m1a}(g_{m1}/g_{m1a})]^2}$$
(22)

By assuming  $g_{m1} = g_{m4a}$  and  $g_{m1a} = g_{m6a}$ , the relation (22) is simplified as

$$NF_{G_m-stage, Proposed} = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{g_{m1}R_s} \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{g_{m1}}{g_{m1a}} \right) = NF_{G_m \ stage, Conv.} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma}{g_{m1}R_s} (N-1) \bigg|_{N>0}$$
(23)

In the aforementioned equation, the first term is the noise factor of the conventional transconductance stage, and the second term is the added noise factor because of the proposed techniques. As it is seen from (23), for 0 < N < 1, the noise factor of the proposed transconductance stage is lower than the conventional one, and for N=1, they are equal, and finally, for N > 1, it is greater than the conventional one. In this work, in order to boost the conversion-gain and reduce the power dissipation (by decreasing the bias current of  $M_{1a}$ ,  $M_{2a}$  and  $M_{5a}$ ,  $M_{6a}$ ), N > 1 is selected. Consequently, the NF of the proposed mixer, where the thermal noise is dominant, is greater than the conventional mixer.

By considering the thermal noise of the load stage, the noise factor of the proposed mixer by assuming  $g_{m1} = g_{m4a}$  and  $g_{m1a} = g_{m6a}$  is readily obtained as

$$NF_{Proposed} = NF_{Conv.} + \frac{\pi^2}{8g_{m1}R_s} \left[ 2\gamma(N-1) - \frac{3}{g_{m1}R_L} \right]$$
(24)

In Eq. (24), the first term in the bracket is originated from the noise of the added transistors in the transconductance stage  $(M_{1a}-M_{6a})$ . According to N > > 1, this term is an additive term that increases the noise factor of the proposed mixer while the second term is negative because of lower thermal noise contribution of the load stage in the proposed mixer compared with the conventional one. Note that the relation (24) gives the single-sideband noise factor of the mixer, and because the proposed mixer is designed for DCRs, its NF is 3 dB less.

As mentioned before, the flicker noise is mostly originated from the switching stage in an active mixer used in a zero-IF receiver. The output flicker noise due to the switching stage in the proposed mixer can be calculated as follows [2]:

$$i_{o,n} = 2 \times 4I_{DC,sw} \frac{V_n}{S \times T}$$

$$= 2 \times 4 (I_{DC,sw,conv.} - I_{D,3a}) \frac{V_n}{S \times T} = i_{o,n,conv.} - 2 \times 4I_{D,3a} \frac{V_n}{S \times T}$$
(25)

where  $I_{DC,sw}$ ,  $V_n$ , S, and T are the bias current of each switching pair ( $M_3$ ,  $M_4$  and  $M_5$ ,  $M_6$ ), low-frequency noise at the gate of switching transistors, slope of the LO signal at the switching time, and the LO period, respectively. As it is seen, because the bias current of the switching stage is reduced, the output flicker noise of the proposed mixer is smaller than that of the conventional one.

## 3. SIMULATION RESULTS

The complete schematic of the proposed mixer is shown in Figure 4, and it has been simulated using a 180 nm CMOS process along with the conventional active mixer shown in Figure 1. The mixer is designed to operate in 2.4 GHz input signal and 20 MHz output bandwidth. A LO signal with +5 dBm power drives the switching transistors. The device parameters used in the simulation of the mixers are summarized in Table I.

The complete layout schematic of the conventional and proposed mixers are illustrated in Figure 7, which occupies  $430 \,\mu\text{m} \times 195 \,\mu\text{m}$  and  $480 \,\mu\text{m} \times 277 \,\mu\text{m}$  silicon die area, respectively. The following

ParameterProposed mixerConventional $(W/L)_{1,2}$ 10 × 2.5 μm/0.18 μm10 × 2.5 μm/0.00000000000000000000000000000000000			
$(W/L)_{1,2}$ $10 \times 2.5 \mu m/0.18 \mu m$ $10 \times 2.5 \mu m/0.018 \mu m$ $(W/L)_{3.6}$ $10 \times 2.5 \mu m/0.18 \mu m$ $10 \times 2.5 \mu m/0.018 \mu m$ $(W/L)_{1a,2a}$ $2.5 \mu m/0.18 \mu m$ $ (W/L)_{3a,4a}$ $12 \times 2.5 \mu m/0.18 \mu m$ $ (W/L)_{5a,6a}$ $2.5 \mu m/0.18 \mu m$ $ R_L$ $2 k \Omega$ $1.4 k \Omega$ $C_L$ $2 p F$ $3.3 p F$ $C_V$ $1.2 p F$ $-$	Parameter	Proposed mixer	Conventional mixer
$(W/L)_{3-6}^{-6}$ $10 \times 2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m $10 \times 2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m $(W/L)_{1a,2a}$ $2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m       - $(W/L)_{3a,4a}$ $12 \times 2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m       - $(W/L)_{5a,6a}$ $2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m       - $(W/L)_{5a,6a}$ $1.4 \mu$ M       - $(W/L)_{5a,6a}$ $1.2 \mu$ F       -	(W/L) <sub>1.2</sub>	10×2.5 μm/0.18 μm	10×2.5 μm/0.18 μm
$(W/L)_{1a,2a}$ $2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m $(W/L)_{3a,4a}$ $12 \times 2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m $(W/L)_{5a,6a}$ $2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m $R_L$ $2 k \Omega$ $1.4 k \Omega$ $C_L$ $2 pF$ $3.3 pF$ $C_V$ $1.2 pE$	(W/L) <sub>3-6</sub>	$10 \times 2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m	$10 \times 2.5 \mu$ m/0.18 $\mu$ m
$(W/L)_{3a,4a}$ $12 \times 2.5 \mu m/0.18 \mu m$ $(W/L)_{5a,6a}$ $2.5 \mu m/0.18 \mu m$ $R_L$ $2 k \Omega$ $1.4 k \Omega$ $C_L$ $2 p F$ $3.3 p F$ $C_V$ $1.2 p F$	$(W/L)_{1a,2a}$	2.5 μm/0.18 μm	
$(W/L)_{5a,6a}$ $2.5 \mu m/0.18 \mu m$ $R_L$ $2 k \Omega$ $1.4 k \Omega$ $C_L$ $2 p F$ $3.3 p F$ $C_V$ $1.2 p F$	$(W/L)_{3a,4a}$	12×2.5 μm/0.18 μm	
$\begin{array}{ccc} R_L & 2 k \Omega & 1.4 k \Omega \\ C_L & 2 p F & 3.3 p F \\ C_V & 1.2 p F & - \end{array}$	(W/L) <sub>5a.6a</sub>	2.5 μm/0.18 μm	
$C_L$ 2 pF 3.3 pF $C_L$ $1.2$ pF $-$	$R_L$	$2 \mathrm{k}\Omega$	$1.4 \mathrm{k}\Omega$
Cy 12 pF	$C_L$	2 pF	3.3 pF
e <sub>N</sub> 1.2 pi	$C_N$	1.2 pF	

Table I. Device parameters used in the simulation of the mixers.







(b)

Figure 7. Layout of the (a) conventional and (b) proposed active mixers.



Figure 8. IIP2 Monte-Carlo simulation results of (a) conventional and (b) proposed active mixers.



Figure 9. Simulated conversion-gain of the proposed and conventional mixers.



Figure 10. Simulated noise figure of the proposed and conventional mixers.

Parameter	FF at -40 °C	TT at 27 °C	SS at 85 °C
Minimum IIP2 (dBm)	75.7	77.4	78.2
IIP3 (dBm)	-0.5	+0.2	+0.8
Conversion-gain (dB)	19.3	18.1	17.6
Average in-band NF (dB)	12.5	13	13.7
Power supply (V)	1.8	1.8	1.8
Power (mW)	3.9	3.6	3.4

Table II. Simulation results of the proposed mixer in different process corner cases and temperature variations.

Note: NF = noise figure; FF = Fast Fast; TT = Typical Typical; SS = Slow Slow.

Table III. Performance comparison of the proposed and conventional complementary metal-oxide semiconductor active mixers.

Parameter	Proposed mixer	Conventional mixer
IIP2 (dBm)	77.4	54.2
IIP3 (dBm)	+0.2	+2
Conversion-gain (dB)	18.1	12.45
Average in-band NF (dB)	13	12.1
NF at 20 kHz (dB)	17.1	22
Active area	$0.133  \mathrm{mm}^2$	$0.082{\rm mm}^2$
Power supply (V)	1.8	
Power consumption (mW)	3.6	
Process	180 nm TSMC	

Note: NF = noise figure; TSMC = Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company.

simulation results are related to the post-layout analysis. To calculate the IIP2 performance, Monte-Carlo simulations with 1000 iterations have been performed by applying a two-tone test with 4 MHz spacing. The Monte-Carlo simulation results of the proposed and conventional mixers are illustrated in Figure 8 indicating that the IIP2 of the mixer, which is improved by the proposed IM2 cancelation technique, is higher than 77.4 dBm while the minimum IIP2 of the conventional mixer is 54.2 dBm, which corresponds to about 23.2 dB improvement.

The simulated conversion-gain for both mixers is depicted in Figure 9. As seen, the conversion-gain of the proposed mixer is 18.1 dB, which is 5.6 dB greater than the conventional mixer. Figure 10 shows the simulated NF. The NF of the proposed mixer due to the flicker noise (NF at 20 kHz) is reduced by nearly 4.9 dB, and the NF at 10 MHz is increased by 0.9 dB. To have a fair comparison in IIP2, conversion-gain, and NF, the same power consumption is used in both proposed and conventional mixers.

The simulation results in different process corner cases and temperature variations are summarized in Table II. This table shows that the parameters of the mixer have small variations in the corner cases, and consequently, the proposed techniques are almost robust. Table III summarizes the simulation results and compares the proposed mixer with the conventional one. As it is seen, the proposed mixer achieves a high IIP2 and conversion-gain while the average NF is increased only by 0.9 dB and the IIP3 is decreased about 1.8 dB compared with the conventional mixer with the same power consumption.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, two new techniques are proposed to improve the IIP2 and conversion-gain in CMOS active mixers. The first technique removes the output IM2 current of the transconductance stage and, hence, improves the IIP2 by approximately 23.2 dB compared with the conventional mixer. The second technique enhances the conversion-gain of the mixer by increasing the fundamental

component of the transconductance stage output current along with the realization of a negative capacitance to cancel the parasitic capacitors resulting in about 5.6 dB increased conversion-gain. Also, the proposed transconductance stage decreases the flicker noise of the mixer, and the NF at 20 kHz is reduced about 4.9 dB. In addition, because the proposed techniques are realized in the pseudo-differential transconductance stage, they can be used in low voltage applications as well.

### APPENDIX A: THE DERIVATION OF THE VOLTERRA KERNELS FOR THE TRANSCONDUCTANCE STAGE

In this section, the calculation of the Volterra kernels for the transconductance stage of the proposed mixer shown in Figure 4 is presented.

By considering the Taylor series expansion for the drain current of an MOS transistor, the drain current of  $M_1$ ,  $M_{1a}$ ,  $M_{3a}$ , and  $M_{6a}$  can be expressed as

$$I_{d1} = g_{m1}V_{in+} + g_{m1}'V_{in+}^2 + g_{m1}''V_{in+}^3 + \dots$$
(A.1)

$$I_{d1a} = g_{m1a}V_{in+} + g'_{m1a}V_{in+}^2 + g''_{m1a}V_{in+}^3 + \dots$$
(A.2)

$$I_{d3a} = g_{m3a}(-V_D) + g'_{m3a}(-V_D)^2 + g''_{m3a}(-V_D)^3 + \dots$$
(A.3)

$$I_{d6a} = g_{m6a}(-V_C) + g'_{m6a}(-V_C)^2 + g''_{m6a}(-V_C)^3 + \dots$$
(A.4)

Also, the drain voltage of  $M_{1a}$  and  $M_{2a}$  transistors can be defined as follows:

$$V_{C} = D_{1}(\omega) \circ V_{in+} + D_{2}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \circ V_{in+}^{2} + D_{3}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}) \circ V_{in+}^{3} + \dots$$
(A.5)

$$V_{D} = D_{1}(\omega) \circ V_{in-} + D_{2}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \circ V_{in-}^{2} + D_{3}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}) \circ V_{in-}^{3} + \dots$$
  
=  $-D_{1}(\omega) \circ V_{in+} + D_{2}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}) \circ V_{in+}^{2} - D_{3}(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}) \circ V_{in+}^{3} + \dots$  (A.6)

where  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ , and  $D_3$  are the first-order, second-order, and third-order Volterra kernels of  $V_C$  (and  $V_D$ ), respectively. By applying the Kirchhoff's law at the drains of  $M_1$  and  $M_{1a}$ , the voltage of nodes A and C are obtained as

node 
$$A: v_A(t)G_1 + C_{P1}\frac{dv_A(t)}{dt} + C_N\frac{d(v_A(t) - v_C(t))}{dt} + i_{d1}(t) + i_{d3a}(t) = 0$$
 (A.7)

node 
$$C: C_N \frac{d(v_C(t) - v_A(t))}{dt} + G_2 v_C(t) + C_{P2} \frac{dv_C(t)}{dt} + i_{d1a}(t) + i_{d6a}(t) = 0$$
 (A.8)

where

$$G_1 = g_{m3} + g_{m4} + g_{ds1} + g_{ds3a} \tag{A.9}$$

$$G_2 = g_{ds1a} + g_{ds6a} \tag{A.10}$$

Copyright © 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Circ. Theor. Appl. 2015; **43**:1508–1522 DOI: 10.1002/cta

$$C_{P1} \approx C_{db1} + C_{db3a} + C_{gs3} + C_{gs4} + C_{sb3} + C_{sb4} \tag{A.11}$$

$$C_{P2} \approx C_{db1a} + C_{db6a} + C_{gs6a} + C_{gs4a}$$
 (A.12)

Substituting Eqs. (A.1), (A.2), (A.3), and (A.4) into the frequency domain representation of Eqs. (A.7) and (A.8), the first-order and second-order kernels of  $V_A$  are calculated as

$$A_{1}(\omega) = -\frac{(G_{2}g_{m1} + s(C_{N}(g_{m1a} + g_{m1}) + C_{P2}g_{m1})) - D_{1}(\omega)g_{m6a}(NG_{2} + s(NC_{P2} + (N-1)C_{N}))}{G_{1}G_{2} + j\omega(G_{1}(C_{N} + C_{P2}) + G_{2}(C_{N} + C_{P1})) - \omega^{2}(C_{N}(C_{P1} + C_{P2}) + C_{P1}C_{P2})}$$
(A.13)

$$A_{2}(\pm\omega_{1},\mp\omega_{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\left(G_{2}g_{m1}^{'}+j(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})\left(C_{N}\left(g_{m1}^{'}+g_{m1a}^{'}\right)+C_{P2}g_{m1}^{'}\right)\right)\\ -\left(D_{1}(\omega_{1})D_{1}(\omega_{2})g_{m6a}^{'}+D_{2}(\pm\omega_{1},\mp\omega_{2})g_{m6a}\right)\left(j(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})\left(C_{N}(N+1)+NC_{P2}\right)-NG_{2}\right)\end{pmatrix} \\ \overline{G_{1}G_{2}+j(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})\left(G_{1}(C_{N}+C_{P2})+G_{2}(C_{N}+C_{P1})\right)-(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})^{2}\left(C_{N}(C_{P1}+C_{P2})+C_{P1}C_{P2}\right)}\right)}$$

$$(A.14)$$

By assuming  $C_N >> C_{P2}$  and N >> 1, Eq. (A.13) is simplified as

$$A_{1}(\omega) \approx -\frac{[g_{m1} - D_{1}(\omega)g_{m3a}] + j\omega C_{N}[(g_{m1} + g_{m1a}) - ND_{1}(\omega)g_{m6a}]}{G_{1} + j\omega \Big[C_{N}\Big(1 + \frac{G_{1}}{G_{2}}\Big) + C_{P1}\Big] - \omega^{2}C_{N}\Big(\frac{C_{P1} + C_{P2}}{G_{2}}\Big)}$$
(A.15)

where the first-order and second-order Volterra kernels of the  $V_C$  (and  $V_D$ ) are given by

$$D_{1}(\omega) = -\frac{g_{m1a}G_{1} + j\omega[C_{N}(g_{m1} + g_{m1a}) + C_{P1}g_{m1a}]}{\binom{G_{1}(G_{2} + g_{m6a}) + j\omega[C_{N}(G_{1} + G_{2} + g_{m6a}(1 - N)) + C_{P1}(G_{2} + g_{m6a}) + G_{1}C_{P1}]}{-\omega^{2}[C_{N}(C_{P1} + C_{P2}) + C_{P1}C_{P2}]}}$$
(A.16)

$$D_{2}(\pm\omega_{1},\mp\omega_{2}) = -\frac{\begin{pmatrix}G_{1}(g_{m1a}^{'}+D_{1}(\pm\omega_{1})D_{1}(\mp\omega_{2})g_{m6a}^{'})\\+j(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})\left[C_{N}(g_{m1}^{'}+g_{m1a}^{'}+g_{m6a}^{'}(N+1))+C_{P1}(g_{m6a}^{'}+g_{m1a}^{'})\right]\end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix}G_{1}(G_{2}+g_{m6a})-(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})^{2}\left[C_{N}(C_{P1}+C_{P2})+C_{P1}C_{P2}\right]\\+j(\pm\omega_{1}\mp\omega_{2})\left[C_{N}(G_{1}+G_{2}+g_{m6a}(1-N))+C_{P1}(G_{2}+g_{m6a})+G_{1}C_{P1}\right]\end{pmatrix}}$$
(A.17)

#### REFERENCES

- Alvarado U, Berenguer R, Adín I, Mayordomo I, Vaz A, Bistué G. Low-frequency noise analysis and minimization in Gilbert-cell-based mixers for direct-conversion (zero-IF) low-power front-ends. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications* 2010; 38:123–129.
- Darabi H, Abidi AA. Noise in RF-CMOS mixers: a simple physical model. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 2000; 35(1):15–25.
- Terrovitis MT, Meyer RG. Intermodulation distortion in current-commutating CMOS mixers. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 2000; 35(10):1461–1473.
- Manstretta D, Brandolini M, Svelto F. Second-order intermodulation mechanisms in CMOS downconverters. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 2003; 38(3):394–406.

- 5. Zito D. A novel low-power receiver topology for RF and microwave applications. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications* 2009; **37**:1008–1018.
- Scandurra G, Ciofi C, Neri B, Zito D. Modified CMOS boot-strapped inductor. International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications 2007; 35:391–404.
- Fukui H. Design of microwave GaAs MESFET's for broad-band low-noise amplifiers. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques* 1979; 27:643–650.
- 8. Lee K, Nam I, Kwon I, Gil J, Han K, Park S, *et al.* The impact of semiconductor technology scaling on CMOS RF and digital circuits for wireless application. *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices* 2005; **52**(7):1415–1422.
- Jin TH, Kim TW. A 5.5-mW +9.4-dBm IIP3 1.8-dB NF CMOS LNA employing multiple gated transistors with capacitance desensitization. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems Part II – Express Briefs* 2010; 58:2529–2537.
- Javidan J, Atarodi SM, Luong HC. Circuit and system design for an 860–960 MHz RFID reader front-ends with Tx leakage suppression in 0.18– μm CMOS technology. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications* 2012; 40:957–974.
- Vahidfar MB, Shoaei O. A new IIP2 enhancement technique for CMOS down-converter mixers. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems Part II Express Briefs* 2007; 54(12):1062–1066.
- Chen M, Wu Y, Chang MF. Active 2nd-order intermodulation calibration for direct-conversion receivers, In Proc. IEEE Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf., Dig. Tech. Papers, 2006; pp. 1830–1839.
- Jiang P, Lu Z, Guan R, Zhou J. All-digital adaptive module for automatic background IIP2 calibration in CMOS downconverters with fast convergence. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems Part II – Express Briefs* 2013; 60(7):427–431.
- Brandolini M, Rossi P, Sanzogni D, Svelto F. A + 78 dBm IIP2 CMOS direct downconversion mixer for fully integrated UMTS receivers. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 2006; 41(3):552–559.
- Mollaalipour M, Miar-Naimi H. An improved high linearity active CMOS mixer: design and volterra series analysis. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers 2013; 60(8):2092–2103.
- Brandolini M, Sosio M, Svelto F. A 750 mV fully integrated direct conversion receiver front-end for GSM in 90-nm CMOS. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 2007; 42(6):1310–1317.
- 17. Vahidfar MB, Shoaei O. A CMOS high IIP2 mixer for multi-standard receivers, In *Proc. IEEE Int. Circuits Syst. Symp*, 2008; pp. 656–659.
- Vahidfar MB, Shoaei O. An IIP2 calibration technique for CMOS multi-standard mixers, In Proc. IEEE Int. Circuits Syst. Symp, 2008; pp. 916–919.
- Kang S, Choi B, Kim B. Linearity analysis of CMOS for RF application. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory* and Techniques 2003; 51:972–977.
- Kang J, Yoon J, Min K, Yu D, Nam J, Yang Y, et al. A highly linear and efficient differential CMOS power amplifier with harmonic control. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits* 2006; 41:1314–1322.
- Vahidfar MB, Shoaei O. A high IIP2 mixer enhanced by a new calibration technique for Zero-IF receivers. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems Part II Express Briefs* 2008; 55(3):219–223.
- Pascoli SD, Fanucci L, Neri B, Zito D. Base coupled differential amplifier: a new topology for RF integrated LNA. International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications 2003; 31:351–360.
- Barati M, Yavari M. A highly linear mixer with inherent balun using a new technique to remove common mode currents," In Proc. IEEE Int. Circuits Syst. Symp, 2011; pp. 1884–1887.
- 24. Razavi B. RF Microelectronics (2nd edn). Prentice Hall: New York, 2012.